

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,573	12/13/2001	G. William Walster	SUN-P6445-SPL	8135	
22835 7	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER		
A. RICHARD PARK, REG. NO. 41241			DATSKOVSKIY, SERGEY		
PARK, VAUG 2820 FIFTH S	HAN & FLEMING LLP TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA 95616			2121		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/017,573	WALSTER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sergey Datskovskiy	2121		

Before the filling of an Appear Bile.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sergey Datskovskiy	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig tr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		jeotea olaiino.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / unonamont	(1.02.02.1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•		•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.  Other:	// /	f At				
	3. Other:  Anthony Knight  Anthony Examiner					
	Anthony	Knight				
t .	Supervisory Pa	tent Examiner				
	Group	3600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

110

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 1

N

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the number of inputs to arithmetic unit in claim 22 would require further search.